

STATE OF NEW YORK  
ONONDAGA COUNTY  
TOWN OF CICERO

SS:

The Cicero Town Board held their regular meeting on Wednesday, May 26, 2010, at 7:00 p.m., at the Cicero Town Hall, 8236 S. Main Street, Cicero, NY 13039.

Present: Judy A. Boyke, Supervisor  
Jessica Zambrano, Councilor  
Lynn Jennings, Councilor  
James Corl, Jr. Councilor  
Tracy Cosilmon, Town Clerk

Others Present: Christopher Woznica, Highway Superintendent  
Joseph Snell, Police Chief  
Jody Rogers, Director of Parks & Recreation  
Wayne Dean, Director of Planning & Development  
Shirlie Stuart, Comptroller  
Brad Brennan, Assessor  
Anthony Rivizzigno, Town Attorney  
Douglas Wickman, C & S Engineers  
Farah Pike, Star Review

Absent: C. Vernon Conway, Councilor  
Sharon Edick, Receiver of Taxes  
Pamela Williamson, Secretary to Supervisor  
Ronald DeTota, II PE, C & S Engineers

The meeting was opened at 7:00 p.m. with the Pledge of Allegiance.  
A moment of silence was observed in remembrance of our men and women serving in the Armed Forces in harms way.

Ms. Boyke indicated where the fire exits were and read the following statement:

The Cicero Town Board acknowledges the importance of full public participation in all public hearings and, therefore, urges all who wish to address those in attendance to utilize the microphones located in the front of the room. At this time please turn of your cell phones and be sure to speak into the microphones to enable all to hear.

S.E.Q.R.  
(State Environmental Quality Review Act)

Motion was made by Ms. Boyke, seconded by Mr. Jennings, that all actions taken tonight are Type Two (2) actions under the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act unless otherwise determined.

The motion was approved as follows:

Mr. Conway: Absent  
Mr. Jennings: Yes  
Ms. Zambrano: Yes  
Mr. Corl: Yes  
Ms. Boyke: Yes

## APPROVAL OF MAY 12, 2010 MEETING MINUTES

Motion was made by Ms. Boyke, seconded by Ms. Zambrano, to approve the minutes of the May 12, 2010 town board meeting.

Discussion:

Mr. Corl stated that on page 16 of the minutes, relative to issuing the note for the \$150,000.00, when the motion was made, it didn't incorporate the proposed resolution that was circulated amongst the board and that his vote was based on the fact that it was not included in the resolution. He didn't have a problem with the proposed resolution that was added after and believed that Tracy spoke with Counsel and that it was added because of her discussions with the attorney.

Tracy Cosilmon – That's correct.

Mr. Corl stated that the only thing he would like redacted is the second WHEREAS, Clause, that has a sentence which reads (for which an insufficient amount was made in the budget for the current fiscal year). He also requested that the first RESOLVED paragraph which reads (for which an insufficient provision was made in the annual budget of the Town for the current fiscal year), be redacted if the board agreed.

Ms. Zambrano – Why, there was insufficient money allocated.

Mr. Corl – First of all, the whole thing was not adopted or incorporated or referenced on the motion. Secondly, it gives the implication that during the budget process, there wasn't a sufficient amount and it was based on the fact that there were unforeseen circumstances, in which there was a deficiency. That would be my only request.

Mr. Rivizzigno – The budget note is done because there isn't enough money in the budget. To say there isn't enough money in the budget is why we're doing it.

Mr. Corl stated that was correct. First of all, his objection is that the proposed resolution was never referenced, but, if it has to be in there, he is asking for those two lines to be stricken and other than that he didn't have a problem with it. It's a fairly lengthy resolution and it probably should be in there at all, because it was never referenced during the meeting.

Mr. Rivizzigno thought the board had a copy of the resolution.

Mr. Corl – The motion was made to authorize the borrowing of a \$150,000.00 Budget Note from M & T Bank for one year to be put in the Sewer Fund for emergency repairs. That was the actual verbiage of the motion itself, rather than incorporating or referencing some outside proposed resolution, which was circulated.

Mr. Rivizzigno – The only thing I can tell you, is that I will talk to the bank to see if they would be comfortable with removal of that. As, I say, the reason you adopted that resolution is because there is insufficient monies in the budget, so you borrowed a budget note, otherwise you wouldn't have to do that. You just can't take out a budget note, for the sake of borrowing money. There has got to be reason for it and the reason for it, is stated in the resolution. If you want to re-adopt the resolution, you can do that. But, as far as taking out the reason for the borrowing, I'm not sure you can do that legitimately.

Mr. Corl – Okay

Mr. Rivizzigno – If you want to hold it, I can talk to the bank and see if they're comfortable as they are the ones who will be issuing the note.

Mr. Corl asked when that will be done.

Mr. Rivizzigno – Once the resolution is adopted, you can borrow the money any time you want.

Ms. Zambrano – Why? I'm not uncomfortable with this verbiage which say's insufficient funds. That's what it was.

Ms. Boyke stated she was comfortable with the verbiage as well.

Mr. Corl – First of all, it was never incorporated, and there were unforeseen circumstances as to why there were not funds budgeted for the sewer project.

Shirley Stuart – There was a budget deficit at the closing of the year of \$25,000.00. So, it was insufficient. If they appropriated the same dollar amount for this year as they did last year, and they started out with a deficit, then you add that much more on to what you originally budget and they didn't do that.

Ms. Zambrano – We had a discussion about that budget at the last meeting and I say the language stands.

Mr. Jennings seconded motion

Mr. Corl – The motion on the floor is to pass it as is, and was seconded already.

Ms. Zambrano – Lets vote on it then.

Tracy Cosilmon asked who had made the second.

Ms. Zambrano – I did.

#### **Continuation of vote.**

The motion was approved as follows:

Mr. Conway:	Absent
Mr. Jennings:	Yes
Ms. Zambrano:	Yes
Mr. Corl:	Yes, but with the exception of the Resolution that was added after the discussion occurred, which is on page 16, and is the Resolution for the issuance of the \$150,000.00 budget note.
Ms. Boyke:	Yes

#### **PRESENTATION OF PROCLAMATION TO ED ZALUSKI FOR HIS MILITARY SERVICE DURING WORLD WAR II**

Ms. Boyke and the board were photographed with Ed Zaluski in front of the American Flag as May 29, 2010 was designated Ed Zaluski Day in the Town of Cicero. Ed was presented a beautiful proclamation from Assemblyman Al Stirpe, who was unable to attend the meeting which Ms. Boyke read in its entirety.

Ed thanked everyone and stated that he was very grateful and appreciative of this presentation.

#### **DEPARTMENT HEAD INPUT**

Town Clerk

Tracy Cosilmon requested authorization from the board, for the Supervisor to execute the Website Maintenance Agreement with (Code-Munkeys) in the amount of \$850.00 per year commencing June 1, 2010. They will complete all necessary maintenance, tweaks and additions to the town's website.

Motion was made by Mr. Jennings, seconded by Mr. Corl, to authorize an expenditure of \$850.00 and for the Supervisor to execute the Website Maintenance Agreement with Code-Munkeys, commencing June 1, 2010.

The motion was approved as follows:

Mr. Conway:	Absent
Mr. Jennings:	Yes
Ms. Zambrano:	Yes
Mr. Corl:	Yes
Ms. Boyke:	Yes, \$850.00 is a great price to maintain our website.

Tracy also requested approval of a Fireworks Permit for the Lakeshore Yacht Club, to be held July 4, 2010 at 9:00 p.m. All required paperwork and payment of \$75.00 have been received and all signatures have been acquired.

Motion was made by Mr. Jennings, seconded by Ms. Zambrano, to approve the Fireworks Permit for the Lakeshore Yacht Club, to be held July 4, 2010 at 9:00 p.m., subject to their paying the \$75.00 required fee.

The motion was approved as follows:

Mr. Conway:	Absent
Mr. Jennings:	Yes
Ms. Zambrano:	Yes
Mr. Corl:	Yes
Ms. Boyke:	Yes

Tracy Cosilmon requested the Supervisor execute the agreement with Kimberly Clark for all the town bathroom facilities paper products and dispensers. Our former vendor is no longer in business and the new owners carry a different line of products. The products are more cost efficient and meet EPA standards and there is no cost factor involved for the dispensers, as long as we use their products. Mr. Rivizzigno has reviewed the agreement.

Motion was made by Ms. Boyke, seconded by Mr. Corl, to authorize the Supervisor to execute the agreement with Kimberly Clark for the town facilities toiletry paper products and dispensers, which are more cost efficient and meet EPA standards.

The motion was approved as follows:

Mr. Conway:	Absent
Mr. Jennings:	Yes
Ms. Zambrano:	Yes
Mr. Corl:	Yes
Ms. Boyke:	Yes

#### Highway

Chris Woznica - At the last meeting, the board approve Lan Co Companies to do the milling and paving of the upcoming projects in the town and this afternoon I received a fax, from another company that we used to use, that also holds a County Contract, called Termarks Construction, Inc. and they are \$1,000.00 less per day for the milling operation. I need to amend the amount we approved for Lan-Co and use Termarks. I can't give you a dollar figure now, but, will have that for the board at the next meeting. We need to figure out how many days we're going to use them. We will save about \$1,000.00 per day.

Ms. Boyke asked when they were going to start.

Chris Woznica – We're going to start milling on June 7<sup>th</sup>.

Ms. Boyke – By the time you start milling you will be using Termarks rather than Lan-Co.

Chris Woznica – Yes, just for the milling.

Motion was made by Ms. Boyke, seconded by Ms. Zambrano, to authorize using Termarks, per county pricing, to do the milling of various town road projects being repaired this summer at a savings to the town.

The motion was approved as follows:

Mr. Conway:	Absent
Mr. Jennings:	Yes
Ms. Zambrano:	Yes
Mr. Corl:	Yes
Ms. Boyke:	Yes

**RESOLUTION REGARDING SECTION 284 OF HIGHWAY LAW IN REGARD TO  
MILLING AND PAVING OF TOWN OF CICERO ROADS**

Motion was made by Ms. Boyke, seconded by Ms. Zambrano to adopt a resolution in regards to Section 284 of the Highway Law in regards to the milling and paving of Town of Cicero roads, read as follows:

**Resolved** that pursuant to the provisions of Section 284 of the Highway Law, the Town Board agrees monies levied and collected for the repair and improvement of highways. And specifically the sum of \$600,000.00 shall be expended for the general repairs of town highways for the general repairs of town highways including sluices, culverts and bridges, or the renewal thereof, and for the following projects.

1. The milling and paving within the budget allotment of portions (equivalent to approximately 3.08 miles) of the following roads: Lakeshore Road (phase 2), Button Road, Crabtree Lane West, New Street, Rosewood Circle, and Factory Street.
2. Should the Town receive funds under the Consolidated Highway Improvement Project System (CHIPS), funds estimated in the 2010 budget (approximately \$148,000) would be used toward completing the milling and blacktopping project of Michigan Avenue and Superior Avenue ( approximately .46 miles).

**Be it further resolved**, that no fewer than three members of the Town Board and the Highway Superintendent shall execute a certified copy of this resolution in duplicate, and said certificate copy, so signed, shall constitute written agreement between the parties once it shall have been approved by the County Superintendent of Highways.

**Discussion:**

Mr. Corl stated that he had no objection to which roads you're going to pave or the cost associated with that, but, it is his understanding that under the first portion of Number 1, being Lakeshore Rod, Button Road, Crabtree Lane West, New Street, Rosewood Circle and Factory Street will cost about \$540,000.00.

Chris Woznica – I believe they would.

Mr. Corl – We budgeted \$600,000.00 for roads.

Chris Woznica – That's right. Shirlie could probably explain it better.

Mr. Corl – The project listed under Number 2 will cost about \$148,000.00. At the end of the year, we're going to be expending \$688,000.00.

Chris Woznica – No, we're going to be expending \$600,000.00.

Shirlie Stuart – The total is \$600,000.00 and you can't expend over \$600,000.00. What the CHIPS money does, in paragraph 2 offsets the appropriation line and you have to state that for the State.

Mr. Corl – The way the resolution reads, is that we will be .....Do you see my point?

Shirlie Stuart – Yes, I see your point.

Mr. Corl – I don't want the board to pass a resolution in which we're not going to follow that proposed resolution, and yet, we're going to be spending above and beyond our \$600,000.00, which is budgeted.

Shirlie Stuart – You're only going to spend \$600,000.00 and that's it and \$148,000.00 is coming from CHIPS. The revenue from CHIPS offsets the \$600,000.00.

Mr. Corl – How are we going to do that if projects listed in number 1, equal \$540,000.00?

Shirlie Stuart – I don't know where Chris came up with \$540,000.00.

Chris Woznica explained that they did a quick look at what the project would cost and that the figure was just an estimate. The price of blacktop will be going up next month and it rises weekly. The \$600,000.00 was budgeted for roads and that is what has always been done. Last year we received the CHIPS money sometime in November. That money went into the road fund and came up to \$600,000.00. Normally, we spend nearly all of that money. The way the law is written, I had to put in X amount of roads, to show that we're using CHIPS. The law doesn't show that we can put it back in, to offset a budget. According to the Highway Superintendent's Association, we can't do that. If the State gives us \$148,000.00 for doing more roads than what's budgeted, then I have to show them a few more roads that we can do if the money is there.

Mr. Corl – Once you complete Michigan Ave and Superior Ave., per this resolution, the State will come in and cut checks for \$148,000.00 in separate increments, later this summer?

Chris Woznica – Right.

Shirlie Stuart – It isn't in increments. It's all at once.

Chris Woznica – It's one lump sum and by the time we finish the roads it will be September and we get the check in late November.

Shirlie Stuart explained that she'd like to make it clear that we could take that paving line of \$600,000.00 and bring the \$148,000.00 that we get from CHIPS out of it and show CHIPS appropriated amount for repair of highways and that would probably be easier for everyone to understand. There would be two lines, totaling \$600,000.00, which would be the same revenue. I can do that and we probably should after the next budget.

Ms. Zambrano – If the estimate of all of those roads in Number 1 are \$540,000.00, that's an over estimate of how much can be accomplished.

Shirlie Stuart stated that there is nothing here stating \$540,000.00 and that it is just Chris's estimate.

Ms. Zambrano – We won't have enough money to do all of these roads.

Shirlie Stuart – That's correct.

Chris Woznica explained that they normally keep a pretty tight track on it. If we get towards August, and we don't have that money to finish, (example: New Street), then they would be put on the list for next year. It will all depend on the price of blacktop. The labor costs are set but the price of binding and top escalates weekly.

Mr. Corl stated that he was concerned that resolutions passed in the way it is drafted and that a resident could read the resolution in the minutes that Lakeshore Road, Phase 1, Button Road, Crabtree, New Street, Rosewood Circle and Factory Street were going to be done per this resolution and that the board is committed to do that.

Chris Woznica didn't believe the Town Board is committed to this and if prices of asphalt go up they may have to delete a road.

Shirlie Stuart stated that you could simply change the \$600,000.00 figure to \$452,000.00 and that would make more sense.

Ms. Zambrano stated that isn't Chris's estimate for those roads and that it is probably an over estimate on the amount of roads. Using his current quote on prices it is an over estimate for the amount of roads that can be done, and I understand that.

Chris Woznica – At budget time we asked for \$600,000.00 and the Town Board gives us \$600,000.00. We have a list of roads compiled and in April we try to figure out what it's going to cost for each road and that's how we come up with the \$600,000.00. It may be a little less but, that is the way we have done it for ten years and in fact it is the way every Highway Superintendent has ever done it in the County.

Ms. Zambrano – The point is, this is your list of the roads that you feel need to be done and you have sufficient money to do them, but, given the cost of the supplies it may not be feasible to do it within that amount of money.

Chris Woznica - Right.

Mr. Corl – The number in Number 1 is \$452,000.00.

Shirlie Stuart – Yes, \$452,000.00. Would that make more sense to everyone?

Ms. Zambrano – If you're going to give Mr. Corl a figure, we should all have that figure as I didn't know he had the figure of \$540,000.00 for Number 1.

Mr. Corl explained that he called Chris before the meeting and asked him about this. The only thing he is asking is that under Number 1, we add the provision that sets the amount of the approximate figure at **\$452,000.00 and for that to be added to the resolution.**

**Shirlie Stuart – Just change the \$600,000.00 to \$452,000.00 for Number 1 and \$148,000.00 for Number 2.**

Ms. Zambrano – The \$148,000.00 is definite?

Shirlie Stuart – Yes

Ms. Boyke – **We will continue the vote with that change.**

#### **Continuation of vote**

The Resolution was adopted as follows:

Mr. Conway:	Absent
Mr. Jennings:	Yes
Ms. Zambrano:	Yes
Mr. Corl:	Yes
Ms. Boyke:	Yes

## Police

Joe Snell stated that Officer Curt Majo will retire May 28<sup>th</sup>. He worked anywhere from 900 to 1,000 hours on an annual basis. Most of his employment was a result of replacing Officers who were on vacation, having time off, or leave, etc. I would like authorization from the board to start looking at resumes, conducting background investigations, as well as polygraph citizens interview board and psychological reviews. I have five resumes to look at and not all of them will go through that process. I meet with them initially, interview them and make sure that they are suitable for the town and the Department and to see if they are willing to work those numbers of hours. I'm looking at anywhere from one or two part time officers. I need board approval to go ahead with that process.

Motion was made by Ms. Zambrano, seconded by Mr. Corl, to authorize Chief Snell, to start looking at resumes, conducting background investigations and other reviews to hire one or possibly two part time Officers for the Police Department.

The motion was approved as follows:

Mr. Conway:	Absent
Mr. Jennings:	Yes
Ms. Zambrano:	Yes
Mr. Corl:	Yes
Ms. Boyke:	Yes

Comptroller – None

## Park &amp; Recreation

Jody Rogers stated that she wanted to make the board aware that she has been approached by the County regarding the Senior Center being used as a Polling location. I've been working on this with Tracy and have forwarded it to the Steering Committee and they have no problem with it and we would be available for Primaries and Election Day and I am completing the necessary paperwork.

Ms. Zambrano asked which districts would be there.

Tracy Cosilmon stated they would take over the districts that went to Smith Road Elementary School. There are a number of changes and I will be sure to give you a Polling Site list, which will clarify everything and it will be on our website.

Zoning – None

Engineer - None

Attorney - None

**DISCUSSION:**

Ms. Boyke explained that the Fort Brewerton Greater Oneida Lake Chamber of Commerce has requested from the town, a contribution of \$500.00 towards their fireworks display that they have every year on July 4<sup>th</sup>. Unfortunately, it is not the town's position to be able to contribute \$500.00 to a Chamber of Commerce. I am in contact with Mr. Chervinsky, to let him know that. There is a law that states that, so unfortunately, we are unable to do that.

Ms. Zambrano – The law precludes those types of donations?

Ms. Boyke – Yes, it does.

Mr. Corl – We provided donations to the American Legion, for their Memorial Day event and have done that already, for this year.

Ms. Boyke – Yes, the American Legion is permissible as opposed to the Chamber of Commerce.

Mr. Rivizzigno stated that it is permissible and that there is a Section in the Law, pertaining to Veteran Organizations and contains a list, such as the American Legion that you can donate to.

Mr. Corl asked to be provided with that list.

Mr. Rivizzigno stated that he would provide that to Mr. Corl.

Mr. Corl asked if they have been notified.

Ms. Boyke stated that they haven't and that obviously, after reviewing the law that is where this decision is coming from.

Mr. Rivizzigno explained that the town can provide a contribution for fireworks if it were the town's fireworks, but, nobody else's.

Mr. Corl asked if it is because of the organization, or if it was because of the purpose of the funds.

Mr. Rivizzigno stated that it would be both and that you can do your own, if the town were to have a celebration. You could spend money for fireworks, but, you can't give it to a private organization. It isn't the same as the Veterans.

Mr. Corl asked if it would include the Historical Society.

Mr. Rivizzigno – Right

Ms. Zambrano – The Historical Society has been given \$2,000 per year by the town and we have discovered that is illegal.

Mr. Rivizzigno – If the Town has its own Historical Society you can do that, but, you can't contribute to a private organization.

Mr. Corl stated that the Historical Society has been funded \$2,000.00 for this year.

Ms. Zambrano – We denied it.

Ms. Boyke – We found it to be ours, and we investigated it and found that it was legal.

Mr. Corl – I remember getting a memo regarding that, but, I'm just concerned about different organizations being treated differently.

Mr. Rivizzigno stated that he recalled that the Historical Society was for a specific purpose being the School House, which allows us to do that.

Mr. Corl stated that the Historical Society, the Veteran as well as the Fort Brewerton Chamber of Commerce are worthy causes and he was concerned that they were singling out this organization.

Mr. Rivizzigno – It isn't the organization, it's the way the law reads.

Mr. Corl stated it will be interesting for him to see the law as he doesn't see the discrepancy between the organizations.

No Motion made.

Chris Woznica stated he kept reading the resolution and that he wasn't comfortable with the way it is and would like to check with the lawyer our Counsel and the Highway Superintendents Counsel in Albany. I don't think we're doing something right. We appropriated \$600,000.00 at budget time and not \$452,000.00 for roads. I know it's a number game, but I don't feel comfortable in doing it until we're very clear on it. We have never done it this way and I want to be clear as possible.

Ms. Zambrano asked Shirlie Stuart if we show the \$148,000.00 as revenue.

Shirlie Stuart – Yes

Ms. Zambrano asked Chris what he wasn't clear about.

Chris Woznica – At budget time we didn't have the \$148,000.00 in CHIPS money for the upcoming year.

Ms. Zambrano asked Chris if he thought, we thought, he should get \$600,000.00 plus the \$148,000.00 for CHIPS money.

Chris Woznica – In talking with other Highway Superintendents, that's how it is done. I am not comfortable with it and would like to speak with somebody in Albany and will call Albany again in the morning.

Mr. Rivizzigno – The revenue has got to match your expenditures.

Chris Woznica - I agree. It's not matching because at budget time in August, the town appropriated \$600,000.00 for roads and in January, I got a letter from the State saying that you're appropriating \$148,000.00 in CHIPS. I don't see how we can budget \$148,000.00 in August when we didn't get the letter until January.

Shirlie Stuart – Somebody must have been notified.

Chris Woznica – No, we don't get notification until January of the upcoming years CHIP money.

Ms. Zambrano – It sounds like the resolution shouldn't have been placed before us, if you were unsure.

Chris Woznica – I was being pressed to get it in and I hadn't gotten any answers. It is something we should investigate a little more.

Ms. Zambrano – I did say we should wait this morning.

Mr. Rivizzigno – You can rescind the previous motion.

Mr. Corl – Chris, why can't we address this at the next board meeting which will give you time to research this with Tony if you feel uncomfortable about it. The motion can stand as is.

Mr. Rivizzigno – We can amend it and clarify it.

Mr. Corl – Right

Ms. Boyke – We will do that.

Chris Woznica apologized but, stated he'd rather not get in trouble with the State.

Ms. Zambrano – Seeing we've been compliant with the State in the past, I think we have to do something. It is better that we get it cleared up and resolved once and for all.

Ms. Boyke – If we have to make an amendment, we will.

## APPROVAL OF ABSTRACT #10 OF 2010

Motion was made by Ms. Zambrano, seconded by Mr. Jennings, to approve Abstract #10 of 2010 as follows:

General Fund	Voucher #873 to Voucher # 958	In the amount of \$423,093.98
Highway Fund	Voucher #281H to Voucher #300H	In the amount of \$ 78,612.02

The motion was approved as follows:

Mr. Conway:	Absent
Mr. Jennings:	Yes
Ms. Zambrano:	Yes
Mr. Corl:	Yes
Ms. Boyke:	Yes

## NO BUDGET MODIFICATIONS

## ACCEPT THE RESIGNATION OF MARGARET OTT, PART TIME CLERK IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, EFFECTIVE APRIL 28, 2010

Motion was made by Ms. Boyke, seconded by Ms. Zambrano, to accept with regret, the resignation of Margaret Ott, Part Time Clerk for the Police Department effective April 28, 2010.

The motion was approved as follows:

Mr. Conway:	Absent
Mr. Jennings:	Yes
Ms. Zambrano:	Yes
Mr. Corl:	Yes
Ms. Boyke:	Yes

## REQUEST APPROVAL FOR HIRING SUSAN COPPINS AS PART TIME CLERK IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AT RATE OF \$12.62 PER HOUR EFFECTIVE MAY 1, 2010, NOT TO WORK MORE THAN 100 HOURS

Motion was made by Ms. Zambrano, seconded by Mr. Jennings, to approve hiring Susan Coppins for the position of Part Time Clerk for the Police Department, effective May 1, 2010, not to exceed 100 hours at the pay rate of \$12.62 per hour.

The motion was approved as follows:

Mr. Conway:	Absent
Mr. Jennings:	Yes
Ms. Zambrano:	Yes
Mr. Corl:	Yes
Ms. Boyke:	Yes

## YOUTH BUREAU, PARKS AND RECREATION ACCEPT BID FOR GATEWAY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROJECT

Motion was made by Mr. Corl, seconded by Ms. Zambrano, to award the bid for installation of a new preschool play ground equipment and benches for the Gateway Park Community Development Project to Lee Construction in the amount of \$24,900.00, contingent on and approved SHPO through the State and to come out of the \$43,000.00 Community Development Fund from 2009.

The motion was approved as follows:

Mr. Conway:	Absent
Mr. Jennings:	Yes
Ms. Zambrano:	Yes
Mr. Corl:	Yes
Ms. Boyke:	Yes

#### YOUTH BUREAU, PARKS & RECREATION STAFF APPROVALS & DELETIONS

Motion was made by Mr. Jennings, seconded by Ms. Zambrano, to approve the deletion of Kathryn Yates and to appoint Aubrey Carrino, 6134 Dawns Ridge, Cicero, NY as a Recreation Attendant at the Day Camp, effective June 21, 2010 at the payrate of \$7.25 per hour.

The motion was approved as follows:

Mr. Conway:	Absent
Mr. Jennings:	Yes
Ms. Zambrano:	Yes
Mr. Corl:	Yes
Ms. Boyke:	Yes

#### YOUTH BUREAU, PARKS AND RECREATION PURCHASE APPROVALS (Playground mulch)

Motion was made by Mr. Corl, seconded by Ms. Zambrano, to authorize an expenditure of \$3,000.00 to B & B Lumber, for 200 cubic yards at \$15.00 a cubic yard, for playground mulch, as part of Community Development, Budget Code A7110.459.

The motion was approved as follows:

Mr. Conway:	Absent
Mr. Jennings:	Yes
Ms. Zambrano:	Yes
Mr. Corl:	Yes
Ms. Boyke:	Yes

#### (Playground equipment)

Motion was made by Mr. Jennings, seconded by Ms. Zambrano, to authorize an expenditure of \$23,432.00 to Miracle Recreation Equipment Company per State Contract, to purchase pre-school playground units and 6 benches, Budget Codes CD 2009 and A7110.459.

The motion was approved as follows:

Mr. Conway:	Absent
Mr. Jennings:	Yes
Ms. Zambrano:	Yes
Mr. Corl:	Yes
Ms. Boyke:	Yes

#### Golf Instruction – Adult and Youth Lessons

Motion was made by Ms. Zambrano, seconded by Mr. Jennings, to authorize an expenditure of \$1,030.00 to Chuck Jonick for Golf Instructions for adults and youth, to be reimbursed via fees.

The motion was approved as follows:

Mr. Conway:	Absent
Mr. Jennings:	Yes
Ms. Zambrano:	Yes
Mr. Corl:	Yes
Ms. Boyke:	Yes

T-shirts for staff

Motion was made by Mr. Jennings, seconded by Ms. Zambrano, to authorize an expenditure not to exceed \$1,700.00 to purchase seasonal staff and participant shirts through Landmark Graphics, Budget Codes A7150.40, B7140.40 via fees, B7020.40 and B7020.44 via fees.

The motion was approved as follows:

Mr. Conway:	Absent
Mr. Jennings:	Yes
Ms. Zambrano:	Yes
Mr. Corl:	Yes
Ms. Boyke:	Yes

HIGHWAY PURCHASE APPROVAL  
200 yards of topsoil

Chris Woznica stated that he inadvertently put down the wrong Account Code for 200 yards of topsoil from Gerber Topsoil in the amount of \$3,600.00. The Account Code should be DB5140.43.

Motion was made by Mr. Jennings, seconded by Ms. Boyke, to correct the account code from the last Town Board Meeting, for the purchase of 200 yards of topsoil from Gerber Topsoil, in the amount of \$3,600.00, to Account Code DB5140.43.

The motion was approved as follows:

Mr. Conway:	Absent
Mr. Jennings:	Yes
Ms. Zambrano:	Yes
Mr. Corl:	Yes
Ms. Boyke:	Yes

(Throttle control motor –excavator #45)

Motion was made by Mr. Corl, seconded by Mr. Jennings, to authorize an expenditure of \$1,363.75 to Vantage Equipment to purchase a throttle control motor for the excavator #45, Account Code DB5130.55.

The motion was approved as follows:

Mr. Conway:	Absent
Mr. Jennings:	Yes
Ms. Zambrano:	Yes
Mr. Corl:	Yes
Ms. Boyke:	Yes

(130' x 36" solid plastic pipe & 7 bands)

Motion was made by Ms. Zambrano, seconded by Mr. Jennings, to authorize an expenditure of \$4,298.40 to Oswego Tool & Steel, to purchase 130' x 36" of solid plastic pipe and 7 bands, Account Code SD85404 0400, off road drainage, for the Thompson Road project.

The motion was approved as follows:

Mr. Conway:	Absent
Mr. Jennings:	Yes
Ms. Zambrano:	Yes
Mr. Corl:	Yes
Ms. Boyke:	Yes

#### AWARD BID FOR MAINTENANCE AND IMPROVEMENT OF DRAINAGE FACILITIES

Doug Wickman, C & S Engineers stated that four bids were received ranging from a low of \$93,920.00 to \$157,332.80. A bid tab is attached to the letter which he hoped everyone had, which provides a list of all the prices we received from the bidders. We will send a copy of this to each of the bidders, so that they all have complete information. The low bidder was John E. Fischer Construction Co, Inc. and we are familiar with that company. They have been around for some time and we are familiar with the work that they do and believe they have the experience necessary to do this work and recommend that the contract be awarded to them.

Motion was made by Ms. Zambrano, seconded by Ms. Boyke, to award the bid for maintenance and improvements to drainage facilities to John E. Fisher Construction Co., Inc. in the amount of \$93,920.00.

The motion was approved as follows:

Mr. Conway:	Absent
Mr. Jennings:	Yes
Ms. Zambrano:	Yes
Mr. Corl:	Yes
Ms. Boyke:	Yes

#### EXECUTIVE SESSION

Motion was made by Mr. Jennings, seconded by Ms. Zambrano, to enter into Executive Session for the purpose of a personnel issue and I invite Shirlie Stuart, Tony Rivizzigno, and the board, and we will be reconvening.

The motion was approved as follows:

Mr. Conway:	Absent
Mr. Jennings:	Yes
Ms. Zambrano:	Yes
Mr. Corl:	Yes
Ms. Boyke:	Yes

The Board adjourned into Executive Session at 7:55 p.m.

The meeting reconvened from Executive Session at 8:11 p.m.

Mr. Corl - Tracy, while in Executive Session, Mr. Jennings made a motion to conclude and exit Executive Session, seconded by Mr. Corl, to reconvene. No action was taken.

Ms. Boyke stated that a question came up from Mr. Snyder in regards to the Brewerton Revitalization Project and I would like to let you know that it is ongoing in our getting a summary of everything and it is a long process. This evening we have an excel sheet that talks about the phases for the grant and also the Schumer Grant. I am going to ask our Comptroller to go over this, so that it is explained by giving an accurate description of the monies that have been spent to date. After that, I will entertain questions.

BREWERTON REVITALIZATION PROJECT  
 TOWN OF CICERO  
 2010 FINANCIAL REPORT REVISED 05/26/2010  
 PROJECTED PROJECT COST - \$2,500,000.

**GRANTS**

LOCAL WATERFRONT REVITALIZATION PROGRAM GRANT		LOCAL MATCH	TOWN EXPENDITURE	GRANT FUNDS	TOWN OUT OF POCKET
PRELIMINARY	PHASE 1	\$ 250,000.00	\$ 125,000.00	\$ 225,253.30	\$ 111,376.66
PARK	PHASE 2	\$ 275,000.00	\$ 137,500.00		
STREET SCAPE	PHASE 3	\$ 254,500.00	\$ 127,250.00		
BOARDWALK	PHASE 4	\$ 250,000.00	\$ 125,000.00		
APPLICATION SUBMITTED - NOT APPROVED					
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$ 1,029,500.00</b>	<b>\$ 514,750.00</b>		<b>\$ 113,876.64</b>

**PROJECT FOR THE REVILATLIZATIN OF THE HAMLET OF BREWERTON (SENATOR SCHUMER GRANT)**

	GRANT	LOCAL MATCH	TOWN EXPENDITURE	GRANT FUNDS	TOWN OUT OF POCKET
PRELIMINARY	\$ 230,000.00	\$ -	\$ 114,087.20	\$ 102,682.53	\$ 11,404.67
	\$ 535,000.00	\$ 107,000.00	20%	\$ -	\$ -
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 765,000.00</b>	<b>\$ 107,000.00</b>	<b>\$ 114,087.20</b>		<b>\$ 11,404.67</b>

BOTH OF THESE GRANTS ARE REIMBURSEMENT GRANTS - FUNDS NEED TO BE SPENT FIRST BEFORE REIMBURSEMENT FROM NYS.  
 TOWN OF CICERO'S REMAINING PORTION OF THE ABOVE GRANTS = \$ 497,750.00

TOWN BUDGETS	YTD 2006	YTD 2007	YTD 2008	YTD 2009	YTD 2010	TOWN OUT OF POCKET
A69894 - ECONOMIC ASSISTANCE - BREWERTON		\$ 87,859.79	\$ 95,059.84	\$ 19,563.28	\$ 114,087.20	\$ 316,570.11
A80304 - RESEARCH		\$ 31,875.00				\$ 31,875.00
A64974 - ECONOMIC DEV CONTRACTUAL	\$ 136,237.82	\$ 144,136.68	\$ 105,410.12	\$ 94,243.83	\$ -	\$ 480,028.45
						<b>\$ 828,473.56</b>
					<b>TOWN GRANT \$</b>	<b>\$ 828,473.56</b>
					<b>5/26/2010 PROJECT COST TO THE TOWN</b>	<b>\$ 214,059.19</b>
					<b>MATCHING GRANT CONTRIBUTION</b>	<b>\$ 614,414.37</b>
					<b>TOTAL TOWN SHARE</b>	<b>\$ 497,750.00</b>
						<b>\$ 1,112,164.37</b>

Shirlie Stuart stated that the spreadsheet is divided into two different portions. The top portions are the two grants that we now have for the Brewerton Revitalization Project. The first grant listed is referenced to the Local Waterfront Revitalization Program which has four phases. It has a preliminary, a park, streetscape and a boardwalk phase. The second grant that we have is in reference to Senator Schumers's Grant. It is broken down in two different ways. There is the preliminary part of the grant and then we have the remaining grant. I want to make clear that the first portion of the grant is exactly what it is and those are the funds that we applied for and are the funds we were granted. The second part where it says local match, after we have spent the original portion of the grant our local match is half of that. As you can see, in the preliminary Local Waterfront Revitalization Program Grant, the first fund, we've only implemented Phase I. We expended \$225,253.30 and the grant fund we received back from that grant was \$111,376.66. Out of pocket was \$113,876.64.

Ms. Zambrano – In what period of time, did those expenditures take place?

Ms. Boyke – We're working on that. That's part of the breakdown.

Shirlie Stuart – Phases 2, 3 and 4 have not been implemented yet and I can obtain the exact dates for you. The Senator Schumer Grant total was \$765,000.00. The first part preliminary was \$230,000.00 without a match from us. As of date, we expended

\$114,087.20 and we have received to date, this year in 2010, \$102,682.53 and the town's out of pocket to date is \$11,404.67, which we will be getting money back for that. We get \$230,000.00. The second part of that grant which is the remaining \$535,000.00 has a local match of \$107,000.00. We have not utilized that portion of the grant currently. At the bottom of the spread sheet, since we started economic development in the year 2006, there was three economic appropriation lines in the budget. They are listed as Economic Assistance – Brewerton, Research line and Economic Development Contractual. What is listed in those particular years is the amount of funds that were expended. If you look over to the right hand side town portion, you'll see out of pocket \$828,473.56. The grants that we have received and then backed out total and have been spent to date total \$614,414.37. If we were to move forward, the matching part of our grant contribution is \$497,750.00. That is what the town has to put up to receive the remaining portions of these two grants. Also note that we have to put the whole grant money up to be reimbursed that portion. So, the total town's share as of today, if this project moves forward with these grants, expenditures are \$1,112,164.37.

Ms. Boyke offered to take any questions, or if everyone wished to digest it first and wait until the next meeting to have some breakdowns on it.

Ed Zaluski – Wait

A resident asked for clarification purposes, if there will be a further breakdown in two weeks.

Ms. Boyke – Yes, we will continue to break this down. It is quite in depth and laboring.

The resident thanked Ms. Boyke and Ms. Stuart.

#### PUBLIC INPUT

Mr. Jerry Willis, Muskrat Bay explained that his questions are in regard to town artery speed limit changes that were made under the former government. The solution to him is to facilitate more efficient traffic flow with minimal if any effect on safety concerns. He remembered hearing that if the new current leadership got into office, the speed limits would return and that 6 months have passed, which is long enough to address this issue. This issue affects thousands of local drivers trying to go to and from work, shopping, etc. Mr. Willis stated that the limits are extremely low facilitating speed trap harassment and that he and others feel the limits were change in attempts to buy a few votes from irrational people by the last town leadership. In their campaign flyer, they tried to get mileage by reducing speed limits in neighborhoods, while in actuality they weren't neighborhoods, but main travel arteries or thoroughfares. Mr. Willis attended a meeting where the Police were sent out to Bartell and Ladd Roads and came back with one ticket. Chief Snell told the board that he felt the speed limit should remain the same. Mr. Conway felt the speed limit should remain at 45mph, but the remaining board felt it should be 40 mph. in which the Police Chief then agreed with 40 mph. I was stunned because he initially stated that there was no change needed. Mr. Willis asked that the board consider that these thoroughfares are not the private driveways of a few and that the majority of taxpayers have to share their tax money to continually maintain their use. Mr. Willis had 7 changes that he wanted the town to make.

1. Bartell Road from 81 Bridge to Ladd Road 45mph from 40 mph
2. Ladd Road from Bartell Road to East Mud Mill Road 50 mph
3. East Mud Mill Road – Route 11 to McKinley Road 45 mph
4. Lakeshore Road just past the Elementary School to Maple Drive – 40 mph.
5. Whiting Road from the power lines to Route 31 45 mph.
6. South Bay Road from Lakeshore Road to Route 81 Bridge 50 mph. with the exception of the Gillette Road School area.
7. Route 31 East into Bridgeport – Move 30mph sign to Huyck Drive

Ms. Zambrano asked Mr. Willis how he proposed these changes be made and asked him if the board should have a public meeting to gather input. He is only one person with a point of view.

Mr. Willis stated that there were probably 6 people at the meeting and he wouldn't know how to do that.

Ms. Zambrano stated she would like to continue talking about this and gather information from a lot of people, to see how this can be done.

Don Snyder, Mud Mill Road stated that Mr. Willis is right on target with what he has said. The speed limit of 35mph on Mud Mill Road and South Bay Road is crazy and sets you up for problems. He also agreed with the list of roads.

Ms. Zambrano asked Mr. Snyder if he had any suggestions.

Mr. Snyder explained that until we became a Suburban Town, most of those roads were probably controlled by the County or the State and they didn't see any need to change those. We suddenly voted to become a Suburban Town and the only thing I saw from that, was speed limit reduction. I didn't see an additional \$100,000.00 or \$150,000.00 come to the budget that I was promised when the Politicians were doing their discussions about that. The town people have to provide input on that, but, you have to be careful who they are. Example: If I was one person that lived on outer Mud Mill and there are 3 houses in 4 miles and I say it should be 30 mph, I'm not so sure that's in the public interest. It's perplexing.

Ms. Boyke asked Chief Snell for any comments regarding this.

Chief Snell stated that he would like to speak with the board regarding this at a later time. They're several variables to look at, such as what the public thinks the speed limit should be. If the public thinks the speed limit should be 45mph, I'm not sure they want the police out there writing tickets for people going 46, 47 or 48 mph. There is no rule of thumb, but, I don't believe anyone in this room who has had a traffic ticket ever got one for less than 10 mph over the speed limit. I think we have to be careful on what we assume we want the speed limit to be. If we say 45, then, are we willing to except 55 mph. I think the courts would be over inundated and you would be hearing from the Judges if we were to start writing tickets for 1, 2 or 3 mph over the speed limit. We have to look at what we want the speed to be at for that road and what our parameters are for allowing those to go over that speed limit. That is something we need to look at. If you raise it up to 45, are we accepting 55 mph? It isn't just a matter of just raising or lowering the speed limit to what the public wants, it's what they really want, and how they want us to enforce it.

Ms. Boyke asked what type of study is done to determine a speed limit.

Chief Snell – The only information that I provided to the Town Board was a traffic speed study prior to the recommendation. I do not have accident data as that comes from the County Metropolitan Traffic Study Group. We found after our study that 19% of the people travel 10 mph over the speed limit, which seems to be common.

Ms. Boyke asked Chief Snell if he was involved in the reduction on Mud Mill Road.

Chief Snell stated that he was involved in the reduction of all of them.

Ms. Boyke questioned the justification for particularly, Mud Mill Road at 35 mph from Sneller Road to Route 11, which was changed.

Chief Snell – My position was to provide the Town Board with data and statistics. I don't make a recommendation as to what the speed limit should be. The Town Board makes that decision.

Ms. Boyke – You provided the data?

Chief Snell – Yes, we ran the speed sentry device up there for quite awhile and brought that data back to the town board.

Mr. Corl – Prior to the enactment of Suburban Town, we had many neighborhoods and groups ask us to help them reduce the speed on certain roads and we couldn't. We'd make a request to the County or refer it out and it would come back 99.9% of the time with their saying no. Our hands were tied. This gave us the ability to listen to people in the neighborhoods and the Chief and make recommendations by local community to assess speed limits, as opposed to us having to refer it to the County and be denied all the time. It is a positive in that respect.

Mr. Snyder stated that he was sure that the County had traffic study people and individuals on their staff that do traffic and speed studies and that he couldn't believe the County would forget the safety of the community of the Town of Cicero and say no, what you want to do with that speed limit is wrong, and it should stay the way it is, knowing that it was not right. Their criteria said that is what it should be. When we became a Suburban Town we used a different criteria and it was the criteria of those who complained. Neighborhoods are one thing, but when you're talking about South Bay, outer Mud Mill, Ladd Road and Bartell.

Mr. Corl – If you had an issue with speed limits in the town wouldn't you rather come before this board, than to deal with the County?

Mr. Snyder stated that based on what has happened here, the answer is no. I wish it had been left alone. If 20% of the people are speeding, according to the Chief, then those people ought to get a ticket. The rest of us will drive within the speed limit. He also did not say folks that we can go over 10 miles an hours and he wouldn't give us a ticket. He also did not say he wouldn't ticket you if you went over 9 mph.

Resident – Former Councilwoman Charlotte Tarwacki, used to complain about the town becoming a huge parking lot because of all of the reductions in speed. Unlike Mr. Snyder, I heard tonight that I can go 40 mph in a 30 mph zone and I'm willing to bet a lot of other people heard that same thing and I don't want to be punished for what 20% of the public is doing.

Kyle Palmer, Cicero Commons, stated that he was looking at the money for Brewerton and is aggravated. He asked when the tax roll was thrown out in Cicero and reverted back. Was it 2008?

Brad Brennan explained that the Assessment Roll wasn't thrown out, but, there were a lot of assessments that were changed through a correction of errors as he understood.

Kyle Palmer – Was it reverted back to the previous?

Brad Brennan stated that some of those properties were reduced.

Kyle Palmer – In what year, did the State say that the town had unequal assessment and it should be revalued?

Brad Brennan - It has been the State's opinion that any time NYS has not done a reassessment project in 5 to 10 years, it should reassessed.

Kyle Palmer - I believe in the timeframe between 2006 and 2008, the town was requested to do a re-evaluation, but there was no money.

Brad Brennan – To my knowledge they never went forward with any project until we went out. I don't know what the reason was. We bonded for the project and I believe they could have done that back then.

Kyle Palmer stated for a million dollars. From 2006 to 2008 \$600,000.00 has been spent for Brewerton Revitalization, when we could have had a fair and equitable assessment which probably could have saved a lot of residents and a lot of businesses a lot of money. Is that a fair assumption?

Someone answered No

Don Snyder – It all relates to the grant money too.

Ms. Boyke and Ms. Zambrano responded by saying no

Ed Zaluski stated that his primary peeve is South Bay Road and Whiting Road. When you go north on Whiting Road and come to the Stop Sign, and you have to turn left to look over your shoulder and all you see is tree, a house and a hill. It is very dangerous and I would think something could be done. I suggest they cut back the bank or cut the tree down to make it easier there, when you're creeping along going north on South Bay Road.

Chief Snell stated that area is hazardous to turn there and that he avoids it if he can. It is a matter of looking at it to determine what can be done to make it safer and more visible. It is a County road. I do agree that it is dangerous.

Mr. Corl – We could request that the County come out and look at it.

Chief Snell- We did and they denied it.

Mr. Corl – When was that?

Chief Snell - Right after the accident, we requested it and the County denied it.

Mr. Corl – We should ask again. I don't know if there have been any other accidents in that area to provide new information, but, I don't think so.

Engineering Comments - None

Attorney Comments - None

Board Comments

Mr. Corl – The Police Study Committee is meeting every Monday and they have scheduled their listening sessions and all of the public is invited to attend those. They are currently seeking out media attention and are doing a great job in getting the word out there. For the record, they have been scheduled for Tuesday, June 8<sup>th</sup> at the Cicero Fire Department on Rt. 11 & Rt. 31, the second meeting will be held on Wednesday, June 16<sup>th</sup> at the Brewerton Fire Department on Rt. 11, Brewerton, NY and the final session will occur Thursday, June 17<sup>th</sup> at South Bay Fire Department. All sessions are scheduled to go from 7:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. and they are asking you to come and make any comment you like about Police service within the Town of Cicero.

Mr. Corl stated he would like the board to consider moving the pre-agenda meetings to 5:30 p.m., the day of the meeting to go over the agenda items as opposed to its current situation, which is the day prior. It would give people who attend Town Board Meetings to come earlier if they choose and attend the pre-agenda meeting and then the Town Board Meeting in order to see everything that happens before and after.

Ms. Zambrano - Tonight we passed the Drainage Maintenance Agreement. We have had a Drainage Committee who has been meeting regularly and are also making field visits on various projects. One project problems being the sink hole on Thompson Road, was taken care of by Chris Woznica and the Highway Department and was done for a fairly good price. We have 2 or 3 dozen projects that we have visited and that we're looking at and are prioritizing. Now that we have this agreement almost in place, that work will start. We have a fair amount of money and continue to get drainage complaints. I would

like to say, while Wayne and his Staff are doing a good job, we're trying to make sure that when we make these visits, we know exactly what we're talking about and whether these properties have town easements, or not. That makes a big difference, whether the town can do something or not. It is worth congratulating C&S for helping us get this Drainage Maintenance Agreement in place and I expect that will get some work done.

Ms. Zambrano stated that the other thing that she wanted to mention was that the Drainage Committee people say, is that Cicero is really a swamp. It started out as a swamp and someday will be a swamp again, especially if you watch the Discovery Channel and see how metropolises deteriorate. We have invited Dr. John Howard, Research Scientist with the Division of Epidemiology in NYS Health to come and do a presentation on June 23, 2010, to talk about the swamp and the monitoring of the mosquitoes out there. I think this is a topic that has some interest to some people. He is going to do a power point presentation. It won't be very long, but, I think it will be interesting.

Ms. Boyke – The work shops that we have been holding right along, have proven to be very good, informative and effective, to the point where I have decided that we are going to stop them and continue with our normal board meetings. After our sessions, we are finding that they are very fast and we are up to speed.

Mr. Corl – I guess that's a mute point then. They will be dissolved and is appreciated.

Ms. Boyke – If there is a situation where it needs to be re-instituted, we will.

Mr. Rivizzigno stated if there is something on the agenda that you have a question about, feel free to give them a call, so that they can properly prepare an answer before the board meeting.

Mr. Corl – I'm glad to hear that and at the board meetings, everyone will see full public participation and see everything first hand within the town, in full discussion.

Ms. Boyke – Everything that is discussed is only informational to give everyone an opportunity to be fully aware of anything that is on the agenda. So, you are seeing it first hand.

Ms. Zambrano – What is the deadline to get agenda items to you?

Ms. Boyke – Currently, we would like to get agenda items on Tuesday, before the work sessions, but, this may change and I will let everyone know.

Mr. Corl - Pam is doing a great job in getting us updates as the agenda changes and we appreciate her efforts.

Ms. Boyke – As a reminder, the Memorial Day Parade is on Monday, May 31, 2010 at 9:00 a.m. It will begin at the Cemetery and proceed to the American Legion with a presentation.

There being no further business before the board, the meeting was adjourned at 8:54 p.m.

---

Tracy M. Cosilmon  
Town Clerk

